

White Paper
June 2026

For institutional/
professional
investors use only.

Core-Satellite Fixed Income: Evolving from concept to practice

Nataliya Ivanova

Senior Client Portfolio Manager, Fixed Income

Kristin Aleksandrowicz

Client Portfolio Manager, Fixed Income

Contents

- 03 Executive summary**
- 04 Core + Satellite: From concept to practical framework**
- 06 Case study 1: Global diversification and currency resilience for an institutional multi-asset investor**
- 07 Case Study 2: Solving for the high correlations of traditional active management in corporate bond strategies**
- 08 Case Study 3: Upgrading Core-Plus with private investment-grade credit**
- 09 Case Study 4: Sustainability-led custom Core-Satellite for a European asset owner**
- 10 Implications for fixed income portfolio construction**

Executive summary

The Core-Satellite approach offers investors a flexible framework for tailoring their fixed income exposure, taking full advantage of the expanding breadth of fixed income building blocks. The “core” and “satellite” components can take multiple forms – index/active, public/private, IG/plus sectors, fundamental/systematic – and can help align outcomes with each client’s unique return, income, volatility, liquidity, diversification, and cost sensitivities. In this paper, we present four representative case studies that illustrate how institutional investors can apply a variety of Core-Satellite designs to align fixed income allocations to their distinct objectives:

Case studies

1 Diversifying a home-biased portfolio

A global institutional investor seeks to reduce home-country and currency dominance by anchoring a global indexed core with complementary satellites, thereby improving diversification and resilience.

2 Solving for the high correlations of traditional active management in corporate bond strategies

A holdings-based diagnostic reveals considerable overlapping downside correlation across active managers, prompting consideration of a systematic active satellite to diversify drivers.

3 Core-Plus upgrade via private investment grade credit

An institutional allocator adds private investment grade (IG) credit to improve income efficiency and diversify return streams without a large quality downgrade.

4 Sustainability-led custom Core-Satellite for a European asset owner

A large pension and life insurance investor combines indexed core exposures with customized higher-income satellites to align income, implementation at scale, and the incorporation of climate objectives.

In each example, investors’ desired outcomes are translated into portfolio construction choices: selecting scalable core exposures and adding select satellites that target specific return, hedge, or diversification goals. Together, the case studies show how a Core-Satellite approach can improve precision while balancing cost, risk, liquidity, and flexibility.

Core + Satellite

From concept to practical framework

Why a Core-Satellite approach in fixed income? Fixed income is increasingly managed with a solutions mindset, where portfolios are engineered to achieve specific outcomes rather than simply to provide yield or diversification. This reflects the continued evolution in fixed income markets and capabilities, as discussed in our [recent paper](#). The Core-Satellite framework (Figure 1) divides a bond allocation into two parts:

- **Core:** A large, likely liquid, low-cost **foundation** often built from **indexed exposures** (e.g., government and aggregate bond indices, broad credit funds, etc.). The core anchors the overall portfolio and provides efficient broad **market beta**, stability, and transparency.
- **Satellite:** A set of **complementary** strategies aiming to enhance returns, mitigate specific risks, or exploit inefficiencies. Satellite exposures can include **enhanced indexing, unique betas, fundamental or systematic active strategies**, specialized **credit, illiquid sleeves**, or sustainability-themed investments.

The table also shows how Core-Satellite dimensions map to various portfolio choices and investor needs.

Figure 1: Core vs. Satellite—dimensions and use cases

Core (Beta)	Satellite (Alpha)	Use Case	Driver
Indexed and public market exposures	Active and niche strategies	Efficient beta vs. targeted alpha Core delivers broad exposures cheaply; satellites pursue excess return.	Market efficiency As liquid sectors become efficient, core reliance on indexing grows; active risk budget shifts to less efficient areas.
Liquid and transparent instruments	Illiquid and Private credit	Liquidity vs. Yield Core holds liquid bonds for stability and rebalancing; satellites add high-yielding, less liquid credit for income/alpha.	Liquidity needs A liquid core supports regular cash flows and tactical allocation; satellites seek illiquidity premiums.
Liability-Matching “Match” assets	Return-seeking “Grow” assets	Liability-driven investment (LDI) Core aligns with liabilities (duration/quality); satellites add growth or income to improve funding outcomes.	De-risking Defined benefit (DB) pensions use indexed long bonds to lock in funding levels, leaving satellites to target incremental yield or funded ratio improvement
Low cost (passive building blocks)	High conviction (active budgets)	Cost-efficiency vs. specialization Core uses low-fee index funds; satellites justify higher fees with specialist strategies.	Fee budget In fixed income, broad exposures are often achieved cheaply via passive vehicles; alpha budgets reserved for niche opportunities.

Source: State Street Investment Management as of May 25, 2026.

Why Systematic Fixed Income? Fixed income markets have become more complex, data-rich, and scalable, driving the adoption of systematic approaches that apply discipline, consistency, and precision across the full opportunity set. Key trends driving this accelerating trend include:

- **Rise of indexed strategies:** The adoption of indexing in fixed income has increased sharply, reflecting improved transparency, market efficiency, and the difficulty of sustaining alpha in the most liquid sectors.¹ Index and systematic approaches are increasingly used as foundational building blocks for scalable exposure management.
- **Technology and customization:** Electronic trading, optimization, and analytics now enable mass-customization of bond portfolios, integrating constraints (duration, cash flows, sustainability-related issues) that systematically and reliably, tailor portfolio exposures to specific client outcomes.

- **Pension de-risking and low-rate legacy:** With a large share of US and UK defined benefit plans reaching funded ratio targets, priorities shift from growth to risk reduction. Index-based long-duration bonds and overlays help hedge liabilities precisely at low cost, allowing investors to focus their risk and fee budget on complementary satellites.
- **Market regime shifts and income focus:** Structurally higher rates and attendant inflation volatility have increased demand for income resilience. A core-satellite design can help investors pair stable core income with adjustable satellites (e.g., inflation-linked bonds, floating-rate credit, or opportunistic spread exposure) as macro regimes change.

Core-Satellite thus emphasizes modular building blocks along with systematic capabilities as an opportunity to grow the core. The following four case studies illustrate how different types of investors have implemented these concepts in practice, mapping their desired outcomes to specific core and satellite portfolio components.

¹ Morningstar “Global Fund Flows—2024 in Review” (Syl Flood, Feb 2025 & Feb 2026 updates).

Global diversification and currency resilience for an institutional multi-asset investor

Investor Profile and Objectives: An Australasian institutional investor faced a material home-country bias in its fixed income portfolio amid weak domestic growth and currency depreciation. The chief investment officer's priority was to boost diversification and reduce local currency exposure to increase resilience across economic regimes. The investor sought to maintain steady income and capital preservation while limiting the dominance of the local market's performance.

Challenges and constraints: The local bond market is small and vulnerable to domestic shocks. The investor's needs included:

- 1 Broadening the opportunity set beyond domestic sovereign and credit markets;
- 2 Hedging or reducing currency risk tied to their domestic currency;
- 3 Achieving cost-efficient global exposure while managing liquidity for regular payouts;
- 4 Maintaining some domestic exposures (for familiarity and liability matching) without sacrificing diversification.

Core-Satellite strategy: The investor adopted a Core-Satellite framework that was built around an expanded global core and tailored satellites:

- **Core portfolio (stable income and global diversification):** A global aggregate core comprised of developed sovereigns and high-quality credit, largely hedged back to local currency provides liquid, low-cost beta exposure, and reduces local concentration risk. The core can also include inflation-linked exposure (e.g., TIPS) and a smaller portfolio of domestic government bonds for local liability alignment.

- **Satellite allocations (return and resilience enhancers):** Smaller, targeted satellites add yield and address specific risks:

- **High yield (HY) and emerging market (EM) credit.** A modest allocation to global HY and selective EM debt boosts income while keeping total portfolio risk controlled
- **Domestic inflation-linked bonds.** A local inflation-linked sleeve complements global inflation protection and helps preserve purchasing power of local liabilities
- **Dynamic FX allocation.** A controlled, partially unhedged FX position can offset local currency weakness, providing a natural cushion during periods of domestic stress

Outcome

The portfolio improved diversification and reduced reliance on a small domestic market. A hedged global indexed core delivered efficient, lower-volatility beta exposure, while satellites added incremental income and more tailored hedges for inflation protection and selective FX exposure. The result was a more diversified, and consequently more resilient, fixed income allocation that is better positioned to weather local downturns while capturing global opportunities.

Solving for the high correlations of traditional active management in corporate bond strategies

Investor profile and objectives: A large US corporate defined benefit pension scheme maintained a diversified roster of external active fixed income managers across core, core-plus, and opportunistic credit. On paper, the lineup appeared well diversified. However, our investment team recognized that in risk-off environments, underlying exposures became more highly correlated than expected. The objective shifted toward understanding true sources of diversification and building a more resilient fixed income allocation—one that would hold up when cross-asset correlations spike and can lead to traditional “style” distinctions breaking down.

Challenges and constraints: Manager diversification was originally assessed primarily through high-level categories (core/core-plus), which obscured overlapping factor exposures (e.g., shared credit beta, common curve positioning, similar liquidity profiles). A suitable solution had to preserve the plan’s governance constraints: limited tolerance for large tracking error, a preference for transparent risk budgeting, and a desire to improve downside outcomes without materially increasing fees or complexity.

Core-Satellite strategy: Current fundamental active positions were run through a correlation analysis versus a systematic active strategy. The analysis indicated that a systematic active strategy produced low alpha correlation versus the fundamental active approaches. These findings shaped the following suggested restructuring:

- **Satellite allocation (diversifying return drivers via systematic active):** The redesign introduced a systematic active satellite specifically selected to diversify the lineup’s dominant credit beta. Rather than adding more fundamental credit managers with similar styles and downside profiles, the plan explored a rules-based approach targeting excess returns with limited tracking error through explicit factor diversification and tight risk controls. The systematic active satellite works as drawdown mitigation and balances traditional carry with signals that can adapt exposures (e.g., de-risking lower-quality credit into stressed liquidity environments), while maintaining transparency and governance controls.

Outcome

The work reframed diversification from “number of managers” to number of independent return drivers. By isolating efficient beta in the core and concentrating active risk in a purpose-built satellite, the plan improved its ability to set drawdown expectations, budget liquidity, and communicate what risks were intentional—particularly in environments where correlations rise.

Upgrading Core-Plus with private investment-grade credit

Investor profile and objectives: A large institutional allocator already maintained a core-plus bond allocation to generate steady income while preserving capital. Over time, the allocator reassessed how to further enhance the portfolio's overall risk-return profile within the same framework. The objective evolved toward improving income efficiency by incorporating higher-yielding segments of investment grade credit, while maintaining an overall high-quality bias and supporting a broader shift toward more specialized, less correlated strategies beyond traditional public market exposures.

Challenges and constraints: The allocator faced a familiar core-plus dilemma: in public markets, incremental yield often comes from adding below-investment-grade exposure or taking on higher macro sensitivity—both of which can create unwanted tail risk when spreads reprice. At the same time, introducing private assets raised governance questions around liquidity, valuation, and manager selection. The investment committee wanted a solution that:

- 1 Preserved the portfolio's role as a stabilizer;
- 2 Offered a clear economic rationale for any illiquidity; and
- 3 Complemented, rather than replaced, the existing public-market toolkit.

Core-Satellite strategy: The allocator reframed core-plus as a core + targeted satellites architecture, where the “plus” is delivered by purpose-built building blocks rather than broad risk-taking:

- **Core portfolio (liquid public IG beta):** The core remained anchored in liquid, high-quality public markets—typically a blend of aggregate exposure plus targeted rate and IG credit sleeves used for liquidity management and tactical rebalancing. This ensured the fixed income portfolio could continue to serve as a dependable source of liquidity and rate exposure in stressed environments
- **Satellite allocation (private investment-grade credit “plus”):** The upgrade came through a dedicated private investment-grade credit sleeve, seeking an illiquidity/complexity premium in high-quality, asset-backed private credit exposure

Outcome

The redesigned portfolio clarified the role of each component: a liquid public-market core for stability and rebalancing capacity, and a private IG satellite as a differentiated source of carry intended to improve income efficiency. The case reinforces a Core-Satellite theme: satellites are not necessarily about taking more risk, but about different risks accessed within a targeted and disciplined framework.

Sustainability-led custom Core-Satellite for a European asset owner

Investor profile and objectives: A large European asset owner and life insurance company sought to evolve its fixed income allocation in a way that balanced income, scale, customization, and sustainability. The investor already had an established investment-grade mandate and was expanding into new strategies including global high yield and EM hard currency debt. Its broader objective was not simply to add yield, but to do so within a portfolio framework consistent with a long-term sustainable investing approach, involving strong risk management, and incorporating a climate strategy focused on lowering emissions over time.

Challenges and constraints: The case highlights a common challenge for many large asset owners: how to add higher-income public credit without losing control of portfolio design, exclusions, implementation, and sustainability alignment. In this instance, the investor required a custom benchmark for the global high yield allocation, including limits such as EUR and USD only, with no EM or energy issuers, and a minimum issue size to reduce illiquidity tail risk. At the same time, the allocation had to fit within a broader portfolio that combined liquid and illiquid credit and remain aligned with climate objectives including a 30% carbon reduction target for the mandate. This created a need for a framework that could separate scalable beta from highly specific satellite design choices.

Core-Satellite strategy: The portfolio can be understood as a Core-Satellite structure in which liquid indexed building blocks anchor the allocation, while customized higher-income sleeves are used to express specific return and sustainability objectives:

- **Core portfolio (liquid, scalable foundation):** The existing investment-grade allocation serves as the portfolio's liquid core—providing high-quality public credit exposure, efficient beta, and a stable anchor for ongoing flows and rebalancing. This type of core is particularly valuable for a large pension and insurance investor because it supports governance, liquidity management, and transparency, while leaving room for customization around the edges
- **Satellite allocations (customized yield and sustainability tilts):** New allocations in global high yield and EM hard currency debt function as satellites designed to raise income and diversify return sources, but with tighter design control than a standard benchmark allocation:
 - **Custom global high yield sleeve:** Rather than buying broad market beta, the investor uses a customized HY index reflecting its exclusions and implementation preferences. This turns the satellite into a precise building block: higher income than the core, but shaped around issuer and sector exclusions, with better control over unintended tail exposures
 - **EM hard currency and transition flexibility:** An EM hard currency portfolio adds a differentiated source of spread and geographic diversification
 - **Sustainability overlay:** Climate considerations are embedded directly into portfolio construction via exclusions, a carbon-reduction target, and alignment with broader net-zero and sustainable investing policies. In effect, sustainability is not treated as a separate sleeve, but as an integrated design constraint that shapes both the benchmark and the implementation of the satellite exposures

Outcome

This case shows how a large sustainability-focused asset owner can use a core-satellite framework to retain core stability with implementation efficiency, while customized satellites in higher-income credit broaden return sources without defaulting to an off-the-shelf allocation. The result is a portfolio architecture that is more deliberate, more adaptable, and more consistent with the investor's long-term fiduciary and sustainability objectives.

Implications for fixed income portfolio construction

As each of these case studies demonstrates, the Core-Satellite framework is not just a theoretical construct but a practical blueprint for today's fixed income investors. By anchoring portfolios in scalable cores and layering on targeted satellite strategies, investors can customize portfolios to their specific objectives—improving diversification, diagnosing hidden correlations, enhancing income efficiency, or integrating sustainability goals—while harnessing the growing transparency and efficiency of fixed income. This approach benefits from three key trends in fixed income investing today:

- **Customization at scale:** Technology and data make it easier to translate investor constraints into implementable customized building blocks (e.g., indexed liability-matching cores, factor-based satellites, and targeted hedges)
- **Blending active and passive:** Enhanced indexing and systematic active satellites extend the toolkit between pure passive and traditional active—seeking return efficiency with disciplined, rules-based risk control
- **Future-ready portfolios:** Fee pressure and an outcomes mindset are pushing investors toward hybrid models that focus on scale in the core and specialization in satellites, with clearer governance over what risks are intentional

In sum, Core-Satellite portfolios help investors maintain stability and liquidity in the core while using satellites as modular, purpose-built levers for yield, hedging, and diversification. The framework turns fixed income from a static aggregated allocation into a more agile toolkit—supporting customized, resilient portfolios across market cycles.

This paper is part of a broader **Income, Engineered for Outcomes** series.

- Visit the [Fixed Income Hub](#) to continue the series

About State Street Investment Management

At State Street Investment Management, we have been helping create better outcomes for institutions, financial intermediaries, and investors for nearly half a century. Starting with our early innovations in indexing and ETFs, our rigorous approach continues to be driven by market-tested expertise and a relentless commitment to those we serve. With over \$5 trillion in assets managed*, clients in 60 countries, and a global network of strategic partners, we use our scale to deliver a comprehensive and cost-effective suite of investment solutions that help investors get wherever they want to go.

* This figure is presented as of March 31, 2026 and includes ETF AUM of \$1,940.32 billion USD of which approximately \$184.18 billion USD in gold assets with respect to SPDR products for which State Street Global Advisors Funds Distributors, LLC (SSGA FD) acts solely as the marketing agent. SSGA FD and State Street Investment Management are affiliated. Please note all AUM is unaudited.

statestreet.com/investment-management

Marketing communication.

For institutional/professional investors use only.

State Street Global Advisors (SSGA) is now State Street Investment Management. Please [click here](#) for more information.

State Street Investment Management Worldwide Entities

All information is from State Street Investment Management unless otherwise noted and has been obtained from sources believed to be reliable, but its accuracy is not guaranteed. There is no representation or warranty as to the current accuracy, reliability or completeness of, nor liability for, decisions based on such information and it should not be relied on as such.

Investing involves risk including the risk of loss of principal.

The whole or any part of this work may not be reproduced, copied or transmitted or any of its contents disclosed to third parties without State Street Investment Management's express written consent.

The views expressed are the views of the Global Fixed Income, Currency & Cash team through May 22, 2026, and are subject to change based on market and other conditions. This document contains certain statements that may be deemed forward-looking statements. Please note that any such statements are not guarantees of any future performance, and actual results or developments may differ materially from those projected.

Diversification does not ensure a profit or guarantee against loss.

Asset Allocation is a method of diversification which positions assets among major investment categories. Asset Allocation may be used in an effort to manage risk and enhance returns. It does not, however, guarantee a profit or protect against loss.

Bonds generally present less short-term risk and volatility than stocks, but contain interest rate risk (as interest rates raise, bond prices usually fall); issuer default risk; issuer credit risk; liquidity risk; and inflation risk. These effects are usually pronounced for longer-term securities. Any fixed income security sold or redeemed prior to maturity may be subject to a substantial gain or loss.

Investing in high yield fixed income securities, otherwise known as "junk bonds", is considered speculative and involves greater risk of loss of principal and interest than investing in investment grade fixed income securities. These Lower-quality debt securities involve greater risk of default or price changes due to potential changes in the credit quality of the issuer.

The information provided does not constitute investment advice and it should not be relied on as such. It should not be considered a solicitation to buy or an offer to sell a security. It does not take into account any investor's particular investment objectives, strategies, tax status or investment horizon. You should consult your tax and financial advisor.

For investors in EMEA: The information contained in this communication is not a research recommendation or 'investment research' and is classified as a 'Marketing Communication' in accordance with the applicable regional regulation. This means that this marketing communication (a) has not been prepared in accordance with legal requirements designed to promote the independence of investment research (b) is not subject to any prohibition on dealing ahead of the dissemination of investment research.

This communication is directed at professional clients (this includes eligible counterparties as defined by the appropriate EU regulator) who are deemed both knowledgeable and experienced in matters relating to investments. The products and services to which this communication relates are only available to such persons and persons of any other description (including retail clients) should not rely on this communication.

The trademarks and service marks referenced herein are the property of their respective owners. Third party data providers make no warranties or representations of any kind relating to the accuracy, completeness or timeliness of the data and have no liability for damages of any kind relating to the use of such data.

The returns on a portfolio of securities which exclude companies that do not meet the portfolio's sustainable strategy criteria may trail the returns on a portfolio of securities which include such companies. A portfolio's sustainable strategy criteria may result in the portfolio investing in industry sectors or securities which underperform the market as a whole.

© 2026 State Street Corporation. All Rights Reserved.
ID4336150-8944418.1.2.GBL.INST 0526 Exp. Date: 30/06/2027