
2024 ETF Impact Survey

Key Findings and Analysis

Table of Contents

Key Insights

Institutional Investors	03
Individual Investors	17
US Financial Advisors	33
The State of ETFs in the US	44

What's Next for the Global ETF Market?	49
---	-----------

Appendix: Survey Methodology and Sample	50
--	-----------

Key Insights

Institutional Investors


Institutional Investors from the US, the UK, the Netherlands, Sweden, Switzerland, Australia, Singapore, and Japan

Comparing Economic Outlooks

Institutional investors have a more optimistic outlook toward their own country’s economy than they do toward US and global economies, except in Switzerland.

- Institutional investors in the Netherlands, Singapore, and Switzerland are more optimistic about their country’s economic outlook than institutional investors from other countries.
- Except in Switzerland, institutional investors’ optimism about the global economic outlook is more in line with their optimism about the US’ economic outlook than their country’s economic outlook.

Surveyed Institutional Investors’ Outlook on Country, US, and Global Economies



Questions asked:

How do you feel about your country’s economic outlook in the next 12 months? (5-point scale: 1 “Pessimistic” to 5 “Optimistic”); Top 2 Box Reported; Base: Total.


How do you feel about the United States’ economic outlook in the next 12 months? (5-point scale: 1 “Pessimistic” to 5 “Optimistic”); Top 2 Box Reported; Base: Total.

How do you feel about the global economic outlook in the next 12 months? (5-point scale: 1 “Pessimistic” to 5 “Optimistic”); Top 2 Box Reported; Base: Total.

Predictions for the S&P 500


Nearly half of institutional investors are bullish about the S&P 500[®]. 49% of institutional investors are bullish about the S&P 500 returns in 2024, 39% are neutral, and 11% are bearish. On average, institutional investors who are bullish think that it will be up 19%, while those who are bearish think it will be down 17%.

Surveyed Institutional Investors' S&P 500 Predictions



The US and the Netherlands skew bullish (both 57%).
 Singapore skews neutral (58%).
 Australia skews bearish (22%).

Bullish Investors Predict the S&P 500 Will Be Up 19%, On Average



Bearish Investors Predict the S&P 500 Will Be Down 17%, On Average




Questions asked: By the end of 2024, do you think the S&P 500 returns will be up (bullish), flat (neutral) or down (bearish)? Base: Total.
 Approximately what percent do you think it will be up/down? Base: Those bullish/bearish.

Predictions for the S&P 500 by Country

Globally, institutional investors are split on the S&P 500[®] returns at the end of 2024. Nearly half are optimistic that it will be up. Most others believe it will be flat, while 11% globally expect a bear market.

- The US and Australia are the most polarized with some of the strongest views that the market will be up (57% and 50%, respectively), yet these countries also have the largest group of pessimistic institutional investors (20% and 22%, respectively).
- The Netherlands continues to be the most optimistic with the highest share of institutional investors predicting a bull market and the lowest share of those predicting a bear market.

Surveyed Institutional Investors' S&P 500 Predictions: A Breakdown by Country




Question asked: By the end of 2024, do you think the S&P 500 returns will be up (bullish), flat (neutral) or down (bearish)?

The S&P 500

Good Market Proxy and Portfolio Benchmark?

Institutional investors' perception of the S&P 500® and their use of it as a benchmark are in line. 41% of institutional investors believe the S&P 500 accurately reflects the US economy, while 53% feel it somewhat does but has its limitations. Similarly, 47% of institutional investors use the S&P 500 as a benchmark for most investments, while 51% only do so for some investments.

“Is the S&P 500 a Good Proxy for the US Economy?”



The UK less likely to say yes (27%) and more likely to say somewhat (69%).

“Does Your Institution Use the S&P 500 as a Benchmark for Any of Its Investments?”



UK less likely to say most (29%) and more likely to say some (66%).
Switzerland more likely to say most (67%) and less likely to say some (33%).

Questions asked:


Do you think the S&P 500 is a good proxy for the United States' economy? Base: Total.

Does your institution use the S&P 500 as a benchmark for any of its investments? Base: Total.

ETF Usage Among Institutions

A majority of institutional investors use ETFs in their investment strategy “frequently” or “extensively.” Among institutional investors, ETF usage is highest in Japan, followed by Australia and the Netherlands.

The Frequency at Which Institutional Investors Use ETFs as Part of the Firm’s Investment Strategy




* Survey findings indicate heavy users as those who use ETFs “extensively” or “frequently.”
 Question asked: Does your institution utilize ETFs in its investment strategy? Base: Total.

What's the Top Reason Institutions Use ETFs?

The greatest proportion of institutional investors selected liquidity management and hedging as their primary reason for using ETFs.

The Primary Reason Surveyed Institutional Investors Use ETFs



US more likely to use to access specific markets (24%).
UK more likely to use for liquidity management (33%).
Switzerland more likely to use for tactical asset allocation (25%) and interim beta (14%).

Question asked: How does your institution **primarily** use ETFs within its investment strategy? (Please select one) Base: Those who utilize ETFs.

Decision Criteria for Institutions That Use ETFs

The criteria institutions use to decide between ETFs that offer the same or similar exposure varies by country:

- The US, UK, Sweden, and Singapore rank highest liquidity as the most important criterion.
- The Netherlands rank best track record/performance and lowest total cost as top factors.
- Switzerland and Japan rank lowest total cost as most important.
- Australia ranks most reputable issuer and investment philosophy as top criteria.

Decision Criteria When Choosing Between ETFs	Total (%)	US (%)	UK (%)	Netherlands (%)	Sweden (%)	Switzerland (%)	Australia (%)	Singapore (%)	Japan (%)
Highest liquidity	59	66	65	44	65	49	57	60	61
Best track record/performance	55	62	55	59	52	59	41	46	63
Lowest total cost	54	53	45	59	45	76	45	56	65
Most reputable issuer	50	43	57	51	49	39	59	56	43
Investment philosophy	46	46	41	54	51	41	59	40	31
Lowest tracking error	36	30	37	34	37	35	39	42	37


 Most Important

Question asked: When making a choice between ETFs that offer the same or similar exposure, please rank the following factors in order of importance to which ETF is chosen. Base: Those who utilize ETFs.

How Institutions Are Planning to Adjust Allocations in Next 12 Months

Globally, institutions lean towards keeping allocations the same in bonds and cash; they're split on whether to increase or keep allocations the same for equities and alternative investments. Cash allocations have the greatest likelihood to decrease in the next 12 months.

Planned Allocations in the Next 12 Months




Question asked: Think about how your institution is planning to allocate its investment portfolio in the next 12 months. For each of the following, please indicate whether your institution is planning to decrease, stay the same, or increase the allocation.

Likelihood to Consider Actively Managed ETFs

Among institutional investors who use ETFs, the vast majority are likely to consider actively managed ETFs. 80% of institutional investors are likely to consider actively managed ETFs, while only 4% are not likely.

Institutions' Likelihood to Consider Actively Managed ETFs



Netherlands more likely (90%)

Question asked: How likely is your institution to consider actively managed ETFs? (5-point scale: 1 "Not at all Likely to Consider" to 5 "Extremely Likely to Consider"); Base: Those who utilize ETFs. Top 2 Box Reported for Likely; Bottom 2 Box Reported for Not Likely.

Reasons for Using ETFs

Heavy versus Light ETF Users

Heavy ETF users cite more reasons for using ETFs than light users do. On average, the mean number of reasons for using ETFs is higher among heavy ETF users* than light ETF users^ (3.1 versus 2.5 reasons, respectively).

Why Institutional Investors Use ETFs	Heavy	Light
Cost efficiency (%)	60	51
Diversification benefits (%)	56	50
Cash/liquidity management (%)	50	38
Access a specific asset class or investment exposure (%)	49	38
Trading convenience (%)	49	36
Tax benefits (%)	48	36
Mean Number of Reasons	3.1	2.5

* Survey findings identify heavy users as those who use ETFs “extensively” or “frequently.”


^ Survey findings identify light users as those who use ETFs “sometimes” or “rarely.”

Question asked: Why does your institution use ETFs?

The Importance of Liquidity Heavy versus Light ETF Users

Significantly more heavy ETF users* than light ETF users^ say liquidity is important to their institution's investment strategy.

The Importance of Liquidity Among Institutional Investors Who Use ETFs



* Survey findings identify heavy users as those who use ETFs "extensively" or "frequently."


^ Survey findings identify light users as those who use ETFs "sometimes" or rarely."

Question asked: How important is liquidity in your institution's investment strategy? (5-point scale: 1 "Not at all Important" to 5 "Extremely Important"); Top 2 Box Reported for Important; Bottom 2 Box Reported for Not Important.

Comparing Portfolio Satisfaction Heavy versus Light ETF Users

Significantly more heavy ETF users* than light ETF users^ indicate that their institution is satisfied with the performance of its portfolio over the last 12 months.

Portfolio Satisfaction Among Institutional Investors Who Use ETFs Over the Last 12 Months



* Survey findings identify heavy users as those who use ETFs “extensively” or “frequently.”

^ Survey findings identify light users as those who use ETFs “sometimes” or “rarely.”

Question asked: How satisfied is your institution with the performance of its portfolio over the last 12 months? Top 2 Box Reported for Satisfied; Bottom 2 Box Reported for Not Satisfied.

Investment Management Challenges

There isn't much consensus on which challenges are most significant. But challenges like managing risks effectively, dealing with market volatility, and cybersecurity threats tend to top the list. Other top concerns across countries:

- Netherlands: Political instability and ESG issues
- Singapore: Achieving target concerns
- Switzerland: ESG issues
- Japan: Political instability and demographic shifts/aging population

Investment Management Challenges	Total (%)	US (%)	UK (%)	Netherlands (%)	Sweden (%)	Switzerland (%)	Australia (%)	Singapore (%)	Japan (%)
Managing risks effectively	30	32	30	28	36	22	32	38	20
Dealing with market volatility	28	32	30	21	20	25	44	22	30
Cybersecurity threats	28	30	39	20	32	24	32	26	10
Achieving target returns	25	31	33	14	19	20	16	36	28
Political instability/trade sanctions	23	19	23	27	24	20	32	14	30
Environmental, Social and Governance (ESG) issues	23	15	22	27	27	29	20	24	24
Asset allocation and diversification	22	26	15	23	17	25	20	24	28
Regulatory compliance	21	21	22	24	21	20	20	20	18
Demographic shifts/aging population	20	16	11	25	21	29	18	20	30
Liquidity concerns	19	22	20	16	21	16	18	18	18
Rapid pace of technological advances	19	16	16	22	23	18	22	12	20
Competition from algorithmic traders	18	19	15	19	24	16	16	20	18

 Most Significant Challenge(s)

Question asked: What are the three most significant challenges your institution faces in investment management?

Key Insights


Individual Investors

Individual Investors from the US, Australia, Singapore, and Japan

A Look at Investors' Personal Financial Outlook

Investors are generally more optimistic in April 2024 than in Q4 2022, except in Japan. In the US, Australia, and Singapore, investor optimism for their personal financial outlook has increased since Q4 2022 — roughly eight-in-ten are optimistic. In Japan, however, that number has decreased to seven-in-ten.

Surveyed Investors Who Are Optimistic* About Their Personal Financial Outlook




Question asked: What is your outlook for your financial future over the next 12 months? (11-point scale: -5 "Very Pessimistic" to 5 "Very Optimistic"); *Top 5 Box Reported; Base: Total.

Comparing Economic Outlooks

The further investors get from their own personal outlook on their financial future, the less optimistic they appear, **except in Japan**. There, investors express more optimism about the global economy than about their country's economy.

Percentage of Surveyed Investors Optimistic About Personal Finances, as well as Country, US, and Global Economies



Questions asked:

What is your outlook for your financial future over the next 12 months? (11-point scale: -5 "Very Pessimistic" to 5 "Very Optimistic"); Top 5 Box Reported; Base: Total.

How do you feel about your country's economic outlook in the next 12 months? (5-point scale: 1 "Pessimistic" to 5 "Optimistic"); Top 2 Box Reported; Base: Total.

How do you feel about the United States' economic outlook in the next 12 months? (5-point scale: 1 "Pessimistic" to 5 "Optimistic"); Top 2 Box Reported; Base: Total.

How do you feel about the global economic outlook in the next 12 months? (5-point scale: 1 "Pessimistic" to 5 "Optimistic"); Top 2 Box Reported; Base: Total.


Investors' Outlook on Their Country's Economy

A Generational Comparison

Across countries, boomers tend to be less optimistic about their country's economy compared to younger generations. However, nuances exist across countries:

- In the US, millennials are more optimistic than older generations.
- In Australia, Gen X is the least optimistic.
- In Singapore, millennials and Gen X are significantly more optimistic than boomers.
- In Japan, there are no significant differences across generations.

A Generational Breakdown of Surveyed Investors Optimistic About Their Country's Economic Outlook




Question asked: How do you feel about your country's economic outlook in the next 12 months? (5-point scale: 1 "Pessimistic" to 5 "Optimistic"); Top 2 Box Reported; Base: Total.

Investors' Outlook on the Global Economy

A Generational Comparison

Across countries, optimism about the global economy declines with age. Boomer investors in the US are particularly negative — only 8% have a favorable view.

A Generational Breakdown of Surveyed Investors Optimistic About the Global Economic Outlook




Question asked: How do you feel about the global economic outlook in the next 12 months? (5-point scale: 1 "Pessimistic" to 5 "Optimistic"); Top 2 Box Reported; Base: Total.

Predictions for the S&P 500

Overall, investors are more positive about S&P 500® returns for 2024 than they were for 2023. Investors in the US and Singapore are significantly more likely to be bullish about the S&P 500 than they were in Q4 2022, while investors in Australia and Japan are significantly less likely to be bearish and significantly more likely to be neutral.

Surveyed Investors' Predictions for the S&P 500 by the End of 2024




Question asked: By the end of [Nov/Dec 2022=2023 / Apr 2024=2024], do you think the S&P 500 returns will be up (bullish), flat (neutral) or down (bearish)? Base: Total.

ETF Awareness is Universally High

ETF awareness remains high across the US, Australia, and Singapore. In Japan, awareness has skyrocketed in under two years and is now on par with awareness percentages in the other countries surveyed.

Percentage of Surveyed Investors Aware of ETFs




Question asked: Please indicate whether or not your current investment portfolio contains each of the following? Inverse of "Never Heard of this Type of Investment" reported; Base: Total.

Individual Investors' Level of Concern

A Comparison by Country

- Singapore investors show the highest level of concern across all key economic drivers, except for election outcomes.
- US investors are most concerned about election outcomes. Given this is an election year in a highly polarized political environment, heightened concern among US investors is not surprising.
- Japan tends to be less concerned about these issues compared to the other countries.

Investors' Level of Concern Regarding Key Economic Drivers: A Breakdown by Country




Question asked: How concerned are you about each of the following? (5-point scale: 1 "Not at all Concerned" to 5 "Extremely Concerned")

ETF Usage Among Individual Investors Has Increased

ETFs are more popular among investors in April 2024 than in Q4 2022. In the US, Singapore, and Japan, more investors have ETFs in their portfolios in April 2024 than in Q4 2022; in Australia, significantly more do. Among the countries surveyed, investors in Singapore remain the highest users of ETFs.

The Percentage of Surveyed Investors Who Use ETFs in Their Portfolios




Question asked: Please indicate whether or not your current investment portfolio contains each of the following? "Yes, in my current investment portfolio" reported; Base: Total.

ETF Usage Across Generations

Millennials Use ETFs the Most

Millennials are more likely to invest in ETFs than other generational segments. Millennial investors in the US, Australia, and Singapore use ETFs the most and Boomers, the least.

The Percentage of Investors Who Use ETFs in Their Portfolios: A Generational Breakdown




Question asked: Please indicate whether or not your current investment portfolio contains each of the following?

Why Investors Choose ETFs

Top Three Reasons

ETFs deliver diversification and access at a lower cost. Across all four countries surveyed, investors using ETFs are doing so most often for their diversification benefits, flexibility in trading, and low cost/expense ratio.

The Top Reasons Surveyed Investors Choose ETFs for Their Portfolios



Question asked: Why do you have ETFs in your current investment portfolio? Base: Have ETFs in Current Investment Portfolio.

Why Investors Choose ETFs

Full List

A List of Reasons Surveyed Investors Choose ETFs for Their Portfolios




Question asked: Why do you have ETFs in your current investment portfolio?

ETFs Positively Impact Investor's Portfolios

A majority of investors agree that ETFs have improved the performance of their portfolios, more so in Singapore than in the other countries. Among Japanese investors, while still a majority, fewer agree with this statement in 2024 than in Q4 2022.

Percentage of Surveyed Investors Who Agree “ETFs have improved the overall performance of my portfolio”




Question asked: How much do you agree or disagree with each of the following statements about ETFs? (5-point scale: 1 “Disagree Completely” to 5 “Agree Completely”); Top 2 Box reported
Base: Have ETFs in Current Investment Portfolio; Caution: Australia Nov/Dec 2022 base size under n=20.

ETFs Positively Impact Investor Confidence

A majority of investors also agree that ETFs have made them a better investor, more so in Australia and Singapore than in the US and Japan.

Percentage of Surveyed Investors Who Agree “ETFs have made me a better investor”




Question asked: How much do you agree or disagree with each of the following statements about ETFs? (5-point scale: 1 “Disagree Completely” to 5 “Agree Completely”); Top 2 Box reported
Base: Have ETFs in Current Investment Portfolio; Caution: Australia Nov/Dec 2022 base size under n=20.

ETF Investors Are More Satisfied with Their Portfolio Performance

Across all four countries surveyed, investors who have ETFs are slightly or significantly more likely to be satisfied with their investment portfolio than investors who do not have ETFs.

The Percentage of Investors Satisfied With Their Investment Portfolio Performance Based on ETF Ownership




Question asked: How satisfied are you with your investment portfolio's performance over the last 12 months? (5-point scale: 1 "Not at all Satisfied" to 5 "Extremely Satisfied"); Top 2 Box Reported; Base: Have ETFs versus Do NOT Have ETFs.

ETF Comprehension Based on ETF Ownership

Except in Japan, most investors surveyed who do not have ETFs think various aspects of ETFs — like their tax efficiency, pricing, tradability, and more — are difficult to understand. **This suggests a need for more or better ETF education among those who do not have ETFs in their current investment portfolios.**

Percentage of Investors Who Think the Following Topics Are “Difficult to Understand” Based on ETF Ownership



Question asked: For each aspect of ETFs, please indicate whether you think it is easy to understand or difficult to understand. “Difficult to understand” reported; Note: “Easy to understand” is the inverse of “Difficult”; Base: Have ETFs versus Do Not Have ETFs.


Key Insights

US Financial Advisors


Advisors' Outlook on the US and Global Economies

Financial advisors are more optimistic about the US and global economies than individual investors. 77% of advisors are optimistic about the country's economic outlook, and 68% are optimistic about the global economic outlook. This is significantly higher than advised investors (35% and 28%, respectively).

Percentage of Advisors Optimistic About the US Economy



Percentage of Advisors Optimistic About the Global Economy




Questions asked:

How do you feel about your country's economic outlook in the next 12 months? (5-point scale: 1 "Pessimistic" to 5 "Optimistic"); Top 2 Box Reported; Base: Total.
 How do you feel about the global economic outlook in the next 12 months? (5-point scale: 1 "Pessimistic" to 5 "Optimistic"); Top 2 Box Reported; Base: Total.

Financial Concerns of Advisors Versus Investors

Financial advisors may be out of sync with their clients' top financial concerns, except when it comes to inflation. Advisors underestimate their clients' level of concern about election outcomes (in the 2024 election) and geopolitical instability — and overestimate their clients' level of concern with interest rate fluctuations and recession risks.

Advisors' Level of Concern About Key Economic Drivers Versus That of Advised Investors



Questions asked:

How concerned do you think your clients are about each of the following? (5-point scale: 1 "Not at all Concerned" to 5 "Extremely Concerned"); Base: Total; Top 2 Box Reported.


How concerned are you about each of the following? (5-point scale: 1 "Not at all Concerned" to 5 "Extremely Concerned"); Base: Total; Top 2 Box Reported.

The Impact of Financial Concerns on Allocation Strategies

All financial concerns are having at least a slight influence on the investment allocation strategies that advisors are recommending. Inflation, followed by fluctuation in interest rates and risk of recession, are most likely to very much affect the investment allocation strategies that advisors are recommending.

Interestingly, advised investors' top concern is election outcomes, yet this concern is one of the least likely to impact advisors' recommendations.

The Impact of Financial Concerns on Investment Allocation Strategies




Question asked: How, if at all, are each of your clients' concerns affecting the investment allocation strategies that you are recommending? Base: Those with concern.


Predictions for the S&P 500

Financial advisors are feeling positive about S&P 500® returns in 2024. More than 50% of advisors are bullish about the S&P 500's returns in 2024; only 5% are bearish. On average, financial advisors who are bullish think the S&P 500 will be up 15% by December 2024.

Surveyed Advisors' S&P 500 Predictions



Bullish Advisors Predict the S&P 500 Will Be Up 15%, On Average




Questions asked:
 By the end of 2024, do you think the S&P 500 returns will be up (bullish), flat (neutral) or down (bearish)? Base: Total.
 Approximately what percent do you think it will be up? Base: Those bullish.

The S&P 500

Good Market Proxy and Portfolio Benchmark?

Financial advisors' perception of the S&P 500[®] and their use of it as a benchmark are in line. 40% of advisors believe the S&P 500 accurately reflects the US economy, while 54% feel it does somewhat but has its limitations. With that in mind, 45% of advisors use the S&P 500 as a benchmark for most of their clients' investments, while 53% only do so for some of their clients' investments.

“Is the S&P 500 a Good Proxy for the US Economy?”



“Do You Use the S&P 500 as a Benchmark for Clients' Investments?”



Questions asked:


Do you think the S&P 500 is a good proxy for the United States' economy? Base: Total.

Do you use the S&P 500 as a benchmark for any of your clients' investments? Base: Total.

Likelihood to Recommend ETFs to Clients

Nearly all financial advisors recommend ETFs to their clients at least some of the time. 70% of financial advisors are heavy recommenders* of ETFs and 30% are light recommenders.^

The Frequency at Which Surveyed Advisors Recommend ETFs



* Survey findings identify heavy recommenders as those who offer ETFs “always” and “often.”

^ Survey findings identify light recommenders as those who offer ETFs “sometimes” or “rarely.”

Question asked: How often do you recommend ETFs to your clients? Base: Total.

Advisors Recommend ETFs for a Variety of Reasons

Advisors who recommend ETFs to their clients do so for a variety of reasons that likely reflect the investment vehicle's unique structure, including cost, trading flexibility, and diversification.

Advisors Recommend ETFs for a Variety of Reasons




Question asked: Why do you recommend ETFs to your clients? (Please select all that apply) Base: Those who recommend ETFs.

Decision Criteria for Advisors Who Recommend ETFs

When making a choice between ETFs that have the same or similar exposure, advisors say best track record/performance is the most important decision criterion. And 50% of advisors rank lowest expense ratio, highest liquidity, and most reputable issuer in the top three.

Factors and Criteria Most Important for Advisors Recommending ETFs



Question asked: When making a choice between ETFs that offer the same or similar exposure, please rank the following factors in order of importance to which ETF is chosen. Base: Those who recommend ETFs.

Attitudinal and Behavioral Differences

Heavy versus Light ETF Recommenders

Heavy ETF recommenders* are more attuned to market fluctuations and recession risks, place importance on liquidity, and favor equity and bond exposure. They are also more likely than light recommenders^:

- To be concerned with interest rate fluctuations and the risk of recession — and feel that liquidity is important to the investment strategies they’re recommending.
- To be advising clients to increase equity and bond exposure.
- To use the S&P 500® as a benchmark for most of their clients’ investments.

Concerned About:	Heavy	Light
Fluctuation in interest rates	72%	51%
Risk of recession	71%	49%

Importance of Liquidity	Heavy	Light
Important or Very Important	92%	69%

Advising Clients to “Increase” in Portfolio Allocation	Heavy	Light
Equity exposure	48%	27%
Bond exposure	43%	27%

Use S&P 500 as Benchmark for Clients’ Investments	Heavy	Light
Yes, for most	52%	29%


* Survey findings identify heavy recommenders as those who offer ETFs “always” and “often.”

^ Survey findings identify light recommenders as those who offer ETFs “sometimes” or “rarely.”

Heavy ETF Recommenders* Report Higher Client Satisfaction

While both are quite high, significantly more heavy ETF recommenders think their clients are satisfied with their portfolio performance than light ETF recommenders^ do.

Percentage of Advisors Who Think Their Clients Are Satisfied with Portfolio Performance



* Survey findings identify heavy recommenders as those who offer ETFs “always” and “often.”

^ Survey findings identify light recommenders as those who offer ETFs “sometimes” or “rarely.”



Question asked: In general, how satisfied do you think your clients are with their portfolio performance over the last 12 months? (5-point scale: 1 “Not at all Satisfied” to 5 “Extremely Satisfied”); Top 2 Box Reported.

The State of ETFs in the US


Comparing Responses Across US Individual Investors, Financial Advisors, and Institutional Investors

ETFs Are Popular Among Advisors and Institutions

While less than half (45%) of individual investors have ETFs in their investment portfolios, nearly 70% of advisors recommend ETFs to their clients always or often and 67% of institutional investors use ETFs in their investment strategies extensively or frequently.



*"Always" or "Often"



^"Extensively" or "Frequently"

Questions asked:
Please indicate whether or not your current investment portfolio contains each of the following?
How often do you recommend ETFs to your clients?
Does your institution utilize ETFs in its investment strategy?

ETFs Are Primarily Used for Cost and Diversification Benefits

In addition to cost efficiency and diversification benefits, both individual investors and financial advisors use ETFs for trading flexibility, while institutional advisors use ETFs for cash/liquidity management.

Top Three Reasons for Using or Recommending ETFs

Individual Investors		Financial Advisors		Institutional Investors	
Diversification benefits	49%	Cost efficiency	44%	Cost efficiency	57%
Flexibility in trading	47%	Diversification benefits	43%	Diversification benefits	54%
Lower cost/expense ratio	39%	Trading flexibility	43%	Cash/liquidity management*	46%

Questions asked:

Why do you recommend ETFs to your clients?

Why do you have ETFs in your current investment portfolio?

Why does your institution use ETFs?

*Only included in Institutional Investor survey

Performance, Cost, and Liquidity Are the Top Three Factors When Selecting ETFs

Advisors and institutional investors consider the following three factors to be most important when making a choice between ETFs that offer the same or similar exposure.

The Top Three Most Important Factors When Choosing Between ETFs With the Same or Similar Exposure*

Financial Advisors		Institutional Investors	
Best track record/performance	58%	Highest liquidity	66%
Lowest expense ratio	54%	Best track record/performance	62%
Highest liquidity	54%	Lowest total cost	53%


* Not asked among individual investors

Question asked: When making a choice between ETFs that offer the same or similar exposure, please rank the following factors in order of importance to which ETF is chosen.

All Investors Are More Likely to Be Bullish About S&P 500 Returns

Among the three groups surveyed, financial advisors and institutional investors are more bullish about 2024 S&P 500[®] returns than individual investors. However, institutional investors have the greatest proportion of those who predict a bear market.

Predictions for the S&P 500



Question asked: By the end of 2024, do you think the S&P 500 returns will be up (bullish), flat (neutral) or down (bearish)?

What's Next For the Global ETF Market?



[Get Our Predictions Inside This eBook](#)

Appendix

Survey Methodology

and Sample

Survey Methodology

State Street Global Advisors, in partnership with field partners A2Bplanning and Prodege, conducted an online study in April 2024 among individual investors, financial advisors, and institutional investors to assess investor sentiments, investment portfolio trends, the impact of ETFs on portfolio construction, and more.

This survey further builds on research conducted with individual investors in November and December of 2022. For the 2024 ETF Impact Survey, State Street Global Advisors expanded the scope to include institutional investors from various countries, as well as US financial advisors.

Survey Sample

Data was collected between April 1-25, 2024 from the following:

Individual Investors:

- In the US, data was collected from a nationally representative sample of 1,000 adults 18+, and then filtered for analysis among 319 individual investors with investable assets (IA) of US\$250K or more.
- In Australia, Singapore, and Japan, data was collected from 260, 254, and 220 individual investors, respectively, with IA equivalent of US\$250K or more.

Financial Advisors:

- In the US, data was collected from 201 financial advisors with assets under management (AUM) of US\$25M or more. 90% of the financial professionals surveyed had an AUM of US\$50M or more.

Institutional Investors:

- Data was collected from institutional investors who are involved in the decision making for AUM of US\$1B or more from the US (100), the UK (100), Netherlands (100), Sweden (75), Switzerland (51), Australia (50), Singapore (50), and Japan (50).

Disclosure

Marketing Communication

Information Classification: General Access

For Professional Clients/Qualified Investors Use Only.

For qualified investors according to Article 10(3) and (3ter) of the Swiss Collective Investment Schemes Act ("CISA") and its implementing ordinance, at the exclusion of qualified investors with an opting-out pursuant to Art. 5(1) of the Swiss Federal Law on Financial Services ("FinSA") and without any portfolio management or advisory relationship with a financial intermediary pursuant to Article 10(3ter) CISA ("Excluded Qualified Investors") only.

Israel No action has been taken or will be taken in Israel that would permit a public offering of the Securities or distribution of this sales brochure to the public in Israel. This sales brochure has not been approved by the Israel Securities Authority (the 'ISA').

Accordingly, the Securities shall only be sold in Israel to an investor of the type listed in the First Schedule to the Israeli Securities Law, 1978, which has confirmed in writing that it falls within one of the categories listed therein (accompanied by external confirmation where this is required under ISA guidelines), that it is aware of the implications of being considered such an investor and consents thereto, and further that the Securities are being purchased for its own account and not for the purpose of re-sale or distribution.

This sales brochure may not be reproduced or used for any other purpose, nor be furnished to any other person other than those to whom copies have been sent.

Nothing in this sales brochure should be considered investment advice or investment marketing as defined in the Regulation of Investment Advice, Investment Marketing and Portfolio Management Law, 1995 ("the Investment Advice Law"). Investors are encouraged to seek competent investment advice from a locally licenced investment advisor prior to making any investment. State Street is not licenced under the Investment Advice Law, nor does it carry the insurance as required of a licensee thereunder.

This sales brochure does not constitute an offer to sell or solicitation of an offer to buy any securities other than the Securities offered hereby, nor does it constitute an offer to sell to or solicitation of an offer to buy from any person or persons in any state or other jurisdiction in which such offer or solicitation would be unlawful, or in which the person making such offer or solicitation is not qualified to do so, or to a person or persons to whom it is unlawful to make such offer or solicitation.

For Investors in the Netherlands This communication is directed at qualified investors within the meaning of Section 2:72 of the Dutch Financial Markets Supervision Act (Wet op het financieel toezicht) as amended. The products and services to which this communication relates are only available to such persons and persons of any other description should not rely on this communication. Distribution of this document does not trigger a license requirement for the Companies or SSGA in the Netherlands and consequently no prudential and conduct of business supervision will be exercised over the Companies or SSGA by the Dutch Central Bank (De Nederlandsche Bank N.V.) and the Dutch Authority for the Financial Markets (Stichting Autoriteit Financiële Markten). The Companies have completed their notification to the Authority Financial Markets in the Netherlands in order to market their shares for sale to the public in the Netherlands and the Companies are, accordingly, investment institutions (beleggingsinstellingen) according to Section 2:72 Dutch Financial Markets Supervision Act of Investment Institutions.

For Investors in Switzerland This document is directed at qualified investors only, as defined Article 10(3) and (3ter) of the Swiss Collective Investment Schemes Act ("CISA") and its implementing ordinance, at the exclusion of qualified investors with an opting-out pursuant to Art. 5(1) of the Swiss Federal Law on Financial Services ("FinSA") and without any portfolio management or advisory relationship with a financial intermediary pursuant to Article 10(3ter) CISA ("Excluded Qualified Investors"). Certain of the funds may not be registered for public sale with the Swiss Financial Market Supervisory Authority (FINMA) which acts as supervisory authority in investment fund matters. Accordingly, the shares of those funds may only be offered to the aforementioned qualified investors and not be offered to any other investor in or from Switzerland. Before investing please read the prospectus and the KID. In relation to those funds which are registered with FINMA or have appointed a Swiss Representative and Paying Agent, prospective investors may obtain the current sales prospectus, the articles of incorporation, the KIDs as well as the latest annual and semi-annual reports free of charge from the Swiss Representative and Paying Agent, State Street Bank International GmbH, Munich, Zurich Branch, Beethovenstrasse 19, 8027 Zurich, or at ssga.com, as well as from the main distributor in Switzerland, State Street Global Advisors AG ("SSGA AG"), Beethovenstrasse 19, 8027 Zurich. For information and documentation regarding all other funds, please visit ssga.com or contact SSGA AG.

For Investors in the UK This document has been issued by State Street Global Advisors Limited ("SSGAL"). Authorized and regulated by the Financial Conduct Authority, Registered No.2509928. VAT No. 5776591 81. Registered office: 20 Churchill Place, Canary Wharf, London, E14 5HJ. Telephone: 020 3395 6000. Facsimile: 020 3395 6350 Web: www.ssga.com.

This document has been issued by State Street Global Advisors Europe Limited ("SSGAEL"), regulated by the Central Bank of Ireland. Registered office address 78 Sir John Rogerson's Quay, Dublin 2. Registered number 49934. T: +353 (0)1 776 3000. F: +353 (0)1 776 3300. Web: ssga.com.

Disclosure

ETFs trade like stocks, are subject to investment risk and will fluctuate in market value. The investment return and principal value of an investment will fluctuate in value, so that when shares are sold or redeemed, they may be worth more or less than when they were purchased. Although shares may be bought or sold on an exchange through any brokerage account, shares are not individually redeemable from the fund. Investors may acquire shares and tender them for redemption through the fund in large aggregations known as “creation units.” Please see the fund’s prospectus for more details.

SPDR ETFs is the exchange traded funds (“ETF”) platform of State Street Global Advisors and is comprised of funds that have been authorised by Central Bank of Ireland as open-ended UCITS investment companies.

SSGA SPDR ETFs Europe I & SPDR ETFs Europe II plc issue SPDR ETFs, and is an open-ended investment company with variable capital having segregated liability between its sub-funds. The Company is organized as an Undertaking for Collective Investments in Transferable Securities (UCITS) under the laws of Ireland and authorized as a UCITS by the Central Bank of Ireland.

US SPDR ETFs

NOTICE TO PERSONS IN THE EUROPEAN ECONOMIC AREA (“EEA”)

The Certain US Domiciled funds mentioned in this document are permitted to market into the relevant EEA jurisdiction with UK audience pursuant to either Article 42 of AIFMD (if and as implemented under national laws of such member state); or (ii) can otherwise be lawfully offered or sold (including on the basis of an unsolicited request from a professional client/qualified investor).

The US Domiciled funds mentioned on the website are alternative investment funds for the purpose of the European Union Alternative Investment Fund Managers Directive (Directive 2011/61/EU) (the “AIFMD”). It is not a regulated collective investment scheme for purposes of the Financial Services and Markets Act 2000 of the United Kingdom (“FSMA”). The promotion of these Certain US Domiciled funds and the distribution of this material in the UK is restricted by law to Professional Investors Only. SSGA Funds Management, Inc. or State Street Global Advisors Trust Company (excluding SPDR® Gold Trust (“GLD®”) and SPDR® Gold MiniShares® Trust (“GLDM®”) is the alternative investment fund manager (“AIFM”) of the fund.

SSGA Funds Management, Inc. serves as the investment advisor to the SPDR ETFs that are registered with the United States Securities and Exchange Commission under the Investment Company Act of 1940. SSGA Funds Management, Inc. is an affiliate of State Street Global Advisors Limited.

Investing involves risk including the risk of loss of principal.

Diversification does not ensure a profit or guarantee against loss.

Past performance is not a reliable indicator of future performance.

Index returns are unmanaged and do not reflect the deduction of any fees or expenses. Index returns reflect all items of income, gain and loss and the reinvestment of dividends and other income as applicable.

The trademarks and service marks referenced herein are the property of their respective owners. Third party data providers make no warranties or representations of any kind relating to the accuracy, completeness or timeliness of the data and have no liability for damages of any kind relating to the use of such data.

The information provided does not constitute investment advice as such term is defined under the Markets in Financial Instruments Directive (2014/65/EU) or applicable Swiss regulation and it should not be relied on as such. It should not be considered a solicitation to buy or an offer to sell any investment. It does not take into account any investor’s or potential investor’s particular investment objectives, strategies, tax status, risk appetite or investment horizon. If you require investment advice you should consult your tax and financial or other professional advisor.

All information is from SSGA unless otherwise noted and has been obtained from sources believed to be reliable, but its accuracy is not guaranteed. There is no representation or warranty as to the current accuracy, reliability or completeness of, nor liability for, decisions based on such information and it should not be relied on as such.

Disclosure

The whole or any part of this work may not be reproduced, copied or transmitted or any of its contents disclosed to third parties without SSGA's express written consent.

The views expressed in this material are the views of SPDR Strategy and Research through 11 July 2024 and are subject to change based on market and other conditions. This document contains certain statements that may be deemed forward-looking statements. Please note that any such statements are not guarantees of any future performance and actual results or developments may differ materially from those projected.

The S&P 500® Index is a product of S&P Dow Jones Indices LLC or its affiliates ("S&P DJI") and have been licensed for use by State Street Global Advisors. S&P®, SPDR®, S&P 500®, US 500 and the 500 are trademarks of Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC ("S&P"); Dow Jones® is a registered trademark of Dow Jones Trademark Holdings LLC ("Dow Jones") and has been licensed for use by S&P Dow Jones Indices; and these trademarks have been licensed for use by S&P DJI and sublicensed for certain purposes by State Street Global Advisors. The fund is not sponsored, endorsed, sold or promoted by S&P DJI, Dow Jones, S&P, their respective affiliates, and none of such parties make any representation regarding the advisability of investing in such product(s) nor do they have any liability for any errors, omissions, or interruptions of these indices.

Please refer to the Fund's latest Key Information Document (KID)/Key Investor Information Document (KIID) and Prospectus before making any final investment decision. The latest English version of the prospectus and the KID/KIID can be found at [ssga.com](https://www.ssga.com). A summary of investor rights can be found here: <https://www.ssga.com/library-content/products/fund-docs/summary-of-investor-rights/ssga-spdr-investors-rights-summary.pdf>.

Note that the Management Company may decide to terminate the arrangements made for marketing and proceed with de-notification in compliance with Article 93a of Directive 2009/65/EC.

The information contained in this communication is not a research recommendation or 'investment research' and is classified as a 'Marketing Communication' in accordance with the applicable regional regulation. This means that this marketing communication (a) has not been prepared in accordance with legal requirements designed to promote the independence of investment research (b) is not subject to any prohibition on dealing ahead of the dissemination of investment research.

This communication is directed at professional clients (this includes eligible counterparties as defined by the appropriate EU regulator or Swiss Regulator) who are deemed both knowledgeable and experienced in matters relating to investments.

The products and services to which this communication relates are only available to such persons and persons of any other description (including retail clients) should not rely on this communication.

6716511.6.1.EMEA.INST

Exp. Date: 30/06/2026

© 2024 State Street Corporation.

All Rights Reserved.