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Recently, a report took State Street Global Advisors to task for our refusal to support several gender diversity shareholder proxy resolutions.

What the report didn’t tell you was that the company that brought you Fearless Girl and the SSGA Gender Diversity Index was actually proud to withhold its support for these proposals.

Confused yet? Allow me to explain.

Certainly, proxy votes can get a company’s attention. However, when it comes to material performance issues, our metric at State Street Global Advisors is not how much paper companies are pushing or on how many resolutions we can vote for that include the word “diversity.” It’s how much progress companies are making. Do they provide equal pay for equal work? Do they disclose their workforce diversity? Do they have a strategy and goals to increase diversity?

The point is, even though we’ve voted against management over a thousand times on the issue, we don’t just automatically vote for every shareholder proposal that has the words “gender diversity” in it. While that may make for a nice headline — and certainly would allow us to avoid criticism — it wouldn’t actually drive the change we need.

For instance, at one communications company, we voted for a shareholder proposal to require diverse director nominees for every seat on the board because, candidly, we were not confident that they were committed to the issue. The company heard us loud and clear — and in the following year added their first woman to the board and articulated their plans for building an even more diverse board. So when the same proposal came up the next year, we abstained to recognize the progress made while communicating that there was still more work to be done.

Often, we give companies that pledge to make change the time they need to implement the change but then hold them accountable if they don’t follow through. This was the case when one financial services company fell well below our expectations to disclose key workforce diversity data. In year one we voted to abstain on the proposal but supported it in the following year, when disclosure didn’t improve.
Our “carrot and stick” approach to asset stewardship has been successful. Since the launch of the Fearless Girl campaign just two years ago, 423 companies have added their first woman to their boards, and another 22 are committed to doing so. Not only that, but since 2014 more than 350 companies have refreshed their boards, lowering the average tenure of U.S. board directors in the US. And after becoming the first large investor to support shareholder climate proposals in 2016 following engagements on the issue, we are seeing similar positive results.

None of this progress would have been possible without using both our voice — engaging directly with company management — as well as our vote.

But sometimes using our vote sends precisely the wrong message — particularly when the company is already taking significant action to address the problem.

A few years ago, a shareholder proposal would have required a different financial services company to disclose the ratio of median male to female pay. This company was already included in our SSGA Gender Diversity Index because it was outperforming others in its sector with respect to gender diversity at senior leadership level. And having engaged them directly, we knew the company already had a global plan to ensure that men and women receive equal pay for equal work.

As important, they had launched a diversity website that publicly disclosed a robust set of metrics on workforce demographics and the strategies they were employing to improve diversity. For us, this was important because investors could make an informed decision for themselves.

So we didn’t hesitate to vote against that proposal. Why require an industry leader on gender diversity and equal pay to report on something when they already have a plan they are executing to address the issue?

And certainly, we were hardly alone in holding that view — ultimately, the proposal received less than 1-in-10 shareholder votes.

Making progress and having an impact is what counts — and we’ll be holding companies and ourselves accountable on these issues in the months and years ahead.

Not just during proxy season.
About State Street Global Advisors

Our clients are the world’s governments, institutions and financial advisors. To help them achieve their financial goals we live our guiding principles each and every day:

- Start with rigor
- Build from breadth
- Invest as stewards
- Invent the future

For four decades, these principles have helped us be the quiet power in a tumultuous investing world. Helping millions of people secure their financial futures. This takes each of our employees in 27 offices around the world, and a firm-wide conviction that we can always do it better. As a result, we are the world’s third largest asset manager with US $2.8 trillion* under our care.

* AUM reflects approximately $32.7 billion (as of March 31, 2019), with respect to which State Street Global Advisors Funds Distributors, LLC (SSGA FD) serves as marketing agent; SSGA FD and State Street Global Advisors are affiliated.

About Gender Diversity Votes

For too long, companies have taken a ‘one size fits all’ approach to diversity. But what if we could do better? What if we could truly understand how diverse perspectives can help us drive better returns? We believe there’s a simple solution: Gender Diversity Votes.

Gender Diversity Votes is a methodology for evaluating companies across four key areas:

- Gender Diversity of the Board
- Gender Diversity of the Executive Leadership
- Gender Diversity of the pipeline of women candidates
- Presence of women in management

While these may seem basic, our research has shown that companies with gender diversity in their leadership not only perform better financially, but also attract higher returns for investors.

Our clients can view companies in three ways:

- The current state of diversity
- The potential impact if diversity increases
- The potential impact if diversity increases in the top 10% of companies

We believe that investing with a Gender Diversity Vote can help investors make informed decisions, and drive better outcomes for companies and the economy as a whole.

For more information, please visit www.statestreet.com/gender-diversity-votes
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